

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JOHN LITTLE,)	CASE NO. C07-1341-BHS-MAT
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS
)	
PAT PENDRY, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action, filed a Motion to Compel Discovery (Dkt. 63) and a Motion for Extension of Time to Answer and for Injunctive Relief (Dkt. 65). Neither motion was correctly noted. Defendants submitted an objection to the request for an extension. (Dkt. 66.) The Court Orders as follows:

(1) Plaintiff seeks a ninety-day extension of time to respond to defendants' pending motion for summary judgment. Defendants object to this request. Having reviewed the parties' submissions, the Court finds a shorter than requested extension appropriate. Plaintiff is hereby GRANTED an extension, with his response to defendants' motion for summary judgment due no later than **June 23, 2008**. Defendants' summary judgment motion (Dkt. 52) is re-noted for

01 consideration as of **June 27, 2008**, with defendants' reply due as of that same date.

02 (2) Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery (Dkt. 63) and his motion for injunctive relief
03 (Dkt. 65) are hereby noted for consideration on **June 6, 2008**. Defendants' objections shall be
04 filed on or before **June 2, 2008** and plaintiff may reply on or before **June 6, 2008**.

05 (3) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and
06 to the Honorable Benjamin H. Settle.

07 DATED this 28th day of May, 2008.

08 

09 Mary Alice Theiler
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22